APPENDIX 2: SELECTION CRITERIA

PR240521 – Climate resilient education landscape study

1. Essential Criteria: Exclusion if not met

No.	Essential Criteria
1	For firm: must have legitimate business/official premises, or is registered for trading and tax as appropriate OR For group of consultants: must have Identity card and PIT code. Evidence: 1 copy of business certification for company or 1 copy of establishment decision for legal entities that are university/ research institute or 01 copy of operating license for legal entities that are organizations with research or function functions or
2	• ID and PIT for research group Comply to SC's policy (Code of Conduct; Safeguarding Policy; Fraud, Bribery and Corruption Policy, Anti-harassment Policy, Modern Slavery Policy, Data Protection Policy, Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse Policy). Evidence: Supplier commits in the Appendix 1- RFQ
3	The bidder confirms they are not a prohibited party under applicable sanctions laws or anti-terrorism laws or provide goods under sanction by the United States of America or the European Union and accepts that SCI will undertake independent checks to validate this.
4	Evidence: Supplier commits in the Appendix 1- RFQ Key team members commit to follow the full duration of the project. Evidence: Supplier commits in the Appendix 1- RFQ
5	 Meet minimum requirements for team leader as below: Hold a master's degree major in environment or climate change. Has at least 5 years of working experience in conducting study/ assessment on environment or climate change or climate education. Meet minimum requirements for key team members as below: Team members with BA's degree in environment or climate change, social science. Have at least 3 years relevant work experience in study/ assessment on environment or climate change or climate education and social science. Evidence: Suppliers submit CV and copy of Degree of team leader and team members
6	Only Supplier's proposal meets 70% of capability criteria will be considered commercial offer. Evidence: CBA of capability criteria

2. Capability Criteria: 50%

	Criteria	% Weight
1	Capability Criteria	50%
1.1	Quality of technical proposal:	15%
1.1.1	Propose appropriate team composition to perform this TOR. Evidence: team CVs and and Task assignment in technical proposal	2%
1.1.2	Demonstrate solid understanding of the requirements of this TOR and propose relevant technical solutions to address the TOR requirements (13%), of which:	13%
1.1.2.a	The proposed methodology is relevant and appropriate to achieving TOR objectives.	5%
1.1.2.b	Proposed data collection tools and methods are relevant to address research questions.	3%
1.1.2.c	The research proposal includes a plan to address SCiV ethical approval requirements.	2%
1.1.2.d	The proposed timeline meets SCiV expectations.	1%
1.1.2.e	Proposal includes description of a detailed plan for data management and protection and use of information technologies in data collection.	2%
	Evidence for 1.1.2: based on technical proposal submitted by Supplier	
1.2	Quality of report sample	10%
	A soft copy of at least 01 sample of recent relevant reports/ publications to demonstrate the previous experience.	
1.2.1	Team leader was listed as authors in at least one sample report/ publication.	4%
1.2.2	Report/ Publication relating to Environment or Climate change.	4%
1.2.3	Report using relevant methodology (qualitative and quantitative survey).	2%
1.2.4	Relevant sample reports are not submitted (0%)	
	Evidence for 1.2: based on sample of report/publications submitted by Supplier	
1.3	Experience, skills of the key Team members	10%
1.3.1	Team leader has sound and proven experience in participating in study in environment and climate change and relating to child right, gender equality and in child participation techniques. Evidence: list of publications/ reports on environment and climate change studies of team leader. The bidders with the highest number of reports will receive the maximum score. Others will be scored on a pro-rata basis.	3%
1.3.2	Team leader has sound and proven records in leading study in environment and climate change and relating to child rights and gender equality and in child participation techniques.	3%

	Criteria	% Weight
	Evidence: list of publications/ reports on environment and climate change studies of team leader. The bidders with the highest number of reports will get the maximum score. Other will be scored on a pro-rata basis.	J
1.3.3	Team members have proven experience in designing and conducting study and assessment involving environment and climate change and relating to child rights and gender equality. Evidence: based on experience and skills stated in CV of all team members	1%
1.3.4	Team members have a strong network with relevant government agencies and CSOs. Evidence: Submit list of government agencies and CSOs which team members have established connections or prior working experience.	2%
1.3.5	Team members have knowledge and experience in using digitalized tools. Evidence: based on experience and skills stated in CV of all team members	1%
1.3.6	Team members have deep understandings of government climate change, resilient education policies and priorities. Evidence: to be evaluated through presentation/interview.	
1.3.7	No experience: 0%	
1.4	If the supplier meets 70% of the total requirements of 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 there will be an invitation for presentation/interview. Supplier's interview/presentation.	15%

3. Commercial Criteria: 40%

	Criteria	% Weight
2	Commercial Criteria	40%
2.1	Price:	
	The bidder with the most competitive price will get 38%, other bidders' points to be calculated follow pro-rata.	38%
	Evidence: Based on information on RFQ	
2.2	Payment term:	2%
	- Suppliers accept payment term as per deliverables: 2%	
	 Upon approval of inception report and tools: [30%] 	
	Upon submission of First Draft study Report: [30%]	
	• Upon completion of the finding's dissemination workshop: [40%]	
	- Supplier's payment term is different with deliverables: 0%	
	Evidence: Based on information on RFQ	

4. Sustainability Criteria: 10%

	Criteria	% Weight
3	Sustainability Criteria	10%
3.1	Bidder demonstrates a willingness to minimize carbon footprint: 10%	10%
	• Other: 0%	<u>-</u>
	Evidence: based on RFQ. The bidder who has the lowest cost for logistics/ travelling will have maximum score. Other will be calculated based on pro-rata.	-